

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,809	07/28/2003	Dario Gil	MIT.9887	4548	
75	90 09/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP			NGUYEN, KIET TUAN		
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
Boston, MA 02110			2881		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			( <b>1</b> )			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,809	GIL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiet T. Nguyen	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed	epted or b) objected to by the darwing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040831.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,809

Art Unit: 2881

Applicant is requested to provide the information that is disclosed on line 21 of page 3 of the specification.

#### Objected Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the array of microlenses interposed between said array of sources and said array of focusing elements as recited in claims 7-8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,809 Page 3

Art Unit: 2881

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph

Claims 7-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification is unclear for reciting the limitation "an array of microlenses interposed between said array of sources and said array of focusing elements" as recited in claims 7-8; "Fresnel lenses are blazed" as recited in claim 14; and "Fresnel lenses are apodized" as recited in claim 15. However, the specification discloses "providing an array … output beam" on lines 10-11 of page 6. Therefore, the examiner don't undestand where is the location of the array of microlenses? How is the beam operated by the array of microlenses? What are the Fresnel lenses blazed or apodized?

Additional explanations are needed if applicant insists on including these features in claims 7-8.

Clarification without the introduction of new matter is required.

### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/628,809

Art Unit: 2881

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Almogy (Appl. No. 10/289,209; US2003/0122091 A1 having the Provisional application No. 60/331,035 filed on Nov. 07, 2001).

Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-16, as the best understood by the meaning of 112, first paragraph above, are rejected as:

Almogy discloses, in figs. 1-5B, a maskless lithography apparatus. The apparatus includes an array of light sources 400 which is an array of vertical cavity surface emitting lasers and an array of semiconductor lasers (see paragraph [0045]); an array of SLMs 220 or 405 for modulating a parallel array of beams; an array of beam shapers 230 or 200 for shaping the parallel beams; and an array of focusing optics 235 which is an array of diffractive or refractive microlenses, or Fresnel zone plates (see paragraphs [0041]-[0044] for focusing spots of the parallel beams onto a photon-electron converter 145 and then a spot-grid array of electron images produced by the converter 145 to create patterns on a substrate 160. The Fresnel lenses blazed or apodized are considered to be inherent in the Almogy's apparatus as Almogy discloses they can be designed to work any wavelength, and these arrays and there use are described in Gil et al. and Carter et al. articles (see paragraph [0044]).

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/628,809

Art Unit: 2881

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Almogy.

Almogy discloses all the features as discussed above except an array of light emitting diodes as recited in claims 2 and 9.

The array of light emitting diodes is considered to be obvious variation in design, since it is well known in the art that the diode is a semiconductor laser for producing the light beam, thus would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the array of light emitting diodes in the Almogy apparatus for producing an array of light beams, as Almogy discloses using the semiconductor lasers (see paragraph [0045]).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1) Mankos et al. disclose a multiple electron beam lithography using an array of vertical cavity surface emitting lasers.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,809 Page 6

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2479. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee, can be reached on Monday-Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KIET T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER